arXiv:math/0103110v1 [math.GR] 16 Mar 2001

PRIMITIVITY PRESERVING ENDOMORPHISMS OF FREE GROUPS

Donghi Lee

Abstract. An endomorphism ϕ of a free group is called primitivity preserving if ϕ takes every primitive element to another primitive. In this paper we prove that every primitivity preserving endomorphism of a free group of a finite rank $n \geq 3$ is an automorphism.

1. Introduction

Let ϕ be an endomorphism of a free group. Following [2] we call ϕ a *primitivity preserving endomorphism* if ϕ takes every primitive element to another primitive.

Let F_n be the free group of a finite rank n on the set $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. In [1, 5], Shpilrain asked whether every primitivity preserving endomorphism ϕ of F_n is actually an automorphism, and this problem was solved in the affirmative for $n = 2$ by Ivanov [2] and Shpilrain [6]. Ivanov [2] also proved that the answer is positive for an arbitrary rank $n \geq 3$ under the additional assumption that $\phi(F_n)$ contains a primitive pair (i.e., a pair $\psi(x_1)$, $\psi(x_2)$ for some $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$). The purpose of this paper is to present a positive solution to this problem for rank $n \geq 3$ without Ivanov's extra assumption:

Theorem. *Let F_n be the free group of rank $n \geq 3$ on the set $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and ϕ a primitivity preserving endomorphism of F_n. Then ϕ is an automorphism of F_n.*

The proof of the Theorem is developed in a series of several steps. In Steps A–C, the following result of McCool [4] (also see [3]) plays a crucial role:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F05, 20F06, 20F32.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

Lemma [4]**.** *Let* F_n *be a free group of a finite rank* n *and* $\psi \in Aut F_n$*, and let* $U \in F_n$ *be such that* $\|\psi(U)\| < \|U\|$*. Then there exist Whitehead automorphisms* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ *of* F_n *such that* $\psi = \alpha_r \cdots \alpha_1$ *with* $\|\alpha_i \cdots \alpha_1(U)\| < \|U\|$ *for* $1 \le i \le r$*.*

Here, by $\|X\|$ we mean the length of a reduced word equal in F_n to X which is denoted by $\overline{X}$, whereas $|X|$ denotes the length of X (e.g., $\|x_1x_1^{-1}\| = 0$ and $|x_1x_1^{-1}| = 2$). Recall that a Whitehead automorphism ω of F_n is an automorphism of one of the following two types (see [2, 7]):

(W1) ω permutes elements in $\mathfrak{X}^{\pm 1}$.

(W2) ω is defined by a set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{X}^{\pm 1}$ and a letter $a \in \mathfrak{X}^{\pm 1}$ with $a \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a^{-1} \notin \mathcal{S}$ in such a way that if $x \in \mathfrak{X}^{\pm 1}$ then (a) $\omega(x) = x$ provided $x = a^{\pm 1}$; (b) $\omega(x) = xa$ provided $x \neq a$, $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x^{-1} \notin \mathcal{S}$; (c) $\omega(x) = a^{-1}xa$ provided both x, $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$; (d) $\omega(x) = x$ provided both x, $x^{-1} \notin \mathcal{S}$.

If ω is of type (W2), then we write $\omega = \omega(\mathcal{S}, a)$. It is obvious that α_1 in the statement of the lemma above is of type (W2).

Combining the conclusions of Steps A–C enables us to immediately obtain the conclusion of Step D.

In Steps E–F, we use, as our main tool, the Whitehead graphs $\Phi(Y)$ and $\Phi_{x_i}(Y)$ of a reduced non-cyclic word $Y \in F_n$. The standard Whitehead graph $\Phi(Y)$ is constructed as follows: Take the vertex set as $\mathfrak{Y}^{\pm 1} = \{\text{letters occurring in } Y\}^{\pm 1}$, and connect two vertices a, $b \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\pm 1}$ by a non-oriented edge if there is a subword ab^{-1} or ba^{-1} of (non-cyclic) Y. We let $C(a, Y)$ denote the connected component of $\Phi(Y)$ containing a vertex $a \in \Phi(Y)$.

In a similar way, we construct the graph $\Phi_{x_i}(Y)$, introduced by Ivanov [2], paying special attention to powers of x_i in Y as follows: Take the vertex set as $\mathfrak{Y}^{\pm 1} \setminus \{x_i^{\pm 1}\}$, and connect two distinct vertices a, $b \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\pm 1} \setminus \{x_i^{\pm 1}\}$ by a non-oriented edge if (non-cyclic) Y contains a subword of the form $ax_i^{\ell}b^{-1}$ or $bx_i^{\ell}a^{-1}$ for some ℓ. We will refer to this graph as the generalized Whitehead graph of (Y, x_i). By $C_{x_i}(a, Y)$ we denote the connected component of $\varPhi_{x_i}(Y)$ containing a vertex $a \in \varPhi_{x_i}(Y)$.

The idea and the techniques used in [2] are developed further in the present paper.

2. Proof of the Theorem

Thanks to Ivanov's result, it suffices to show that $\langle \phi(x_1),\, \phi(x_2)\rangle$ is a free factor of rank 2 of F_n. Since $\phi(x_1)$ is primitive in F_n, we can assume $\phi(x_1) = x_1$. Consider the elements $\phi(x_2)$, $\phi(x_3)$. Let us define W as a word in F_n that has the minimum length over all words of the form $P_1\, \alpha\big(\phi(x_2)\big)\, P_2$, where $P_1, P_2 \in \langle x_1\rangle$, $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ and $\alpha(x_1) = x_1$. We may assume that $\phi(x_3)$ has the minimum length over all words of the form

$$Q_1\, \beta\big(\phi(x_3)\big)\, Q_2, \tag{1}$$

where $Q_1,\, Q_2 \in \langle x_1\rangle$, $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$, $\beta(x_1) = x_1$, and, for some $S_1,\, S_2 \in \langle x_1\rangle$, $\|S_1\beta(W)S_2\| = |W|$.

Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut} F_n$ be used in assuming $\phi(x_3)$ in (1). For such γ, there exist $T_1,\, T_2 \in \langle x_1\rangle$ such that $\|T_1\gamma(W)T_2\| = |W|$. By writing $X \equiv Y$ we mean the graphical equality (letter-by-letter) of words $X,\, Y \in F_n$ (whereas $X = Y$ means the equality of $X,\, Y$ in F_n). We then may assume that $\phi(x_2) \equiv \overline{T_1\gamma(W)T_2}$. Now put

$$U \equiv \phi(x_2) \quad \text{and} \quad V \equiv \phi(x_3).$$

If $|U| = 1$, then the assertion is obvious. Supposing $|U| > 1$, we shall derive a contradiction. If $|V| = 1$, then a contradiction follows immediately; for if $|V| = 1$ then $\langle V = \phi(x_3),\, x_1 = \phi(x_1)\rangle$ would be a free factor of rank 2 of F_n, hence by Ivanov's result, ϕ would be an automorphism, but then $|U|$ would have to be 1. So let $|V| > 1$.

Similar arguments to those in [2, Lemmas 1–3] show the existence of the Whitehead automorphisms $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\mathcal{R}_1, x_1^{-1})$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(\mathcal{R}_2, x_1^{-1})$ such that

$$\gamma_1(U) = Ux_1^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2(U) = x_1U. \tag{2}$$

This, in particular, implies that U is a cyclically reduced word; for otherwise the last letter of $\gamma_1(U)$ being x_1^{-1} would force the first letter of $\gamma_1(U)$ to be x_1, contrary to $\gamma_1(U) = Ux_1^{-1}$. So the word

$$W_1 \equiv (Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r V x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s$$

which we consider in Step A is reduced.

For $y \in \mathfrak{X}^{\pm 1}$, τ_y denotes the inner automorphism of F_n induced by y, i.e., $\tau_y(x) = yxy^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, while π_y denotes an endomorphism of F_n such that $\pi_y(y) = 1$ and $\pi_y(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}^{\pm 1} \setminus \{y^{\pm 1}\}$.

Step A. Consider the word

$$W_1 \equiv (Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r V x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s,$$

where r, s are integers with $s > |V| + 6|U| + 10,\ r > |V| + (2s+6)|U| + 4s + 8$. Since ϕ is primitivity preserving, the word W_1 is primitive in F_n. Then by the Lemma stated in the Introduction, there is a Whitehead automorphism ω_1 of F_n such that

$$\|\omega_1(W_1)\| < |W_1|. \tag{3}$$

Clearly ω_1 has form $(W2)$, so let $\omega_1 = \omega_1(\mathcal{S}_1, a)$.

Claim 1. $\omega_1(x_1) = x_1$.

Proof of Claim 1. If $\omega_1(x_1) = x_1 a$ or $a^{-1}x_1$, then there are r new occurrences of a in x_1^r, hence we have (for details of the following computation, see [2, p. 95]):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq\ & s|U| + s(|x_1^r| + r) + 3|U| + (|x_1^r| + r) + |V| + (|x_1^r| + r) + 3|U| \\ & + s(|x_1^{-r}| + r) + s|U| - \{2|V| + 2(2s+6)|U| + 2(4s+8)\} \\ >\ & |W_1|, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

by the choice of r, contradicting (3).

Now let $\omega_1(x_1) = a^{-1}x_1a$. Then

$$\|\omega_1(W_1)\| = \|\big(\omega_1(U)a^{-1}x_1^ra\big)^s\omega_1(U)^3a^{-1}x_1^ra\,\omega_1(V)\,a^{-1}x_1^ra\omega_1(U)^{-3}\big(a^{-1}x_1^{-r}a\omega_1(U)\big)^s\|.$$

Case (i): $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ neither begins with a^{-1} nor ends with a. By the choice of U, we have $\|\omega_1(U)\| \geq |U|-1$; for if $\|\omega_1(U)\| < |U|-2$, then the automorphism $\tau_a\omega_1$ of F_n fixes x_1 and $\|\tau_a\omega_1(U)\| < |U|$, contradicting the choice of U; besides, if $\|\omega_1(U)\| = |U|-2$, then since $|a\overline{\omega_1(U)}a^{-1}| = |U|$, the word $a\overline{\omega_1(U)}a^{-1}$ also has Whitehead automorphisms of F_n with property (2), but this contradicts the fact that the word $a\overline{\omega_1(U)}a^{-1}$ is not cyclically reduced. Hence we have

$$\|\big(\omega_1(U)a^{-1}x_1^ra\big)^s\| \geq |(Ux_1^r)^s| + s \quad\text{and}\quad \|\big(a^{-1}x_1^{-r}a\omega_1(U)\big)^s\| \geq |(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + s;$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1(W_1)\| &\geq \{|(Ux_1^r)^s| + s\} + 3|U| + |x_1^r| + |V| + |x_1^r| + 3|U| + \{|(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + s\} \\ &\qquad - \{2|V| + 12|U| + 20\} \\ &> |W_1|,\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

by the choice of s, contradicting (3).

Case (ii): $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ begins with a^{-1} but does not end with a, say, $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv a^{-1}U'$ (note that U' cannot begin with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). By the choice of U, $|U'| \geq |U|-1$; for if $|U'| < |U|-1$ then the automorphism $\tau_a\omega_1$ fixes x_1 and $\|\tau_a\omega_1(U)\| = |U'a^{-1}| < |U|$, contrary to the choice of U. Moreover, if $|U'| > |U|-1$, then $\|\big(\omega_1(U)a^{-1}x_1^ra\big)^s\| \geq |(Ux_1^r)^s| + s$ and $\|\big(a^{-1}x_1^{-r}a\omega_1(U)\big)^s\| \geq |(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + s$; hence as in (5), we will get a contradiction to (3). So let $|U'| = |U|-1$. Then the automorphism $\tau_a\omega_1$ of F_n fixes x_1 and $\|\tau_a\omega_1(U)\| = |U|$. Hence by the choice of V, $\|\tau_a\omega_1(V)\| \geq |V|$, i.e., $\|a\,\omega_1(V)\,a^{-1}\| \geq |V|$. But then, from the following observation

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1(W_1)\| &= \|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^sU^3x_1^r\big)\| + \|a\,\omega_1(V)\,a^{-1}\| + \|\omega_1\big(x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| - 2 \\ &\geq \|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^sU^3x_1^r\big)\| + |V| + \|\omega_1\big(x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| - 2,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

we have $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$, contrary to (3), because

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(a^{-1}U'a^{-1}x_1^r a)^s (a^{-1}U')^3 a^{-1}x_1^r a\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (2s+2) - \{2(s-1)+2\} \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + 2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| &= \|a^{-1}x_1^r a(U'^{-1}a)^3(a^{-1}x_1^{-r}aa^{-1}U')^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + (2+2s) - (2+2s) \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s|.\end{aligned}$$

Case (iii): $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ ends with a but does not begin with a^{-1}, say, $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv U'a$ (note that U' cannot end with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). The same reason as above enables us to assume $|U'| = |U| - 1$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(U'aa^{-1}x_1^r a)^s (U'a)^3 a^{-1}x_1^r a\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (2s+2) - (2s+2) \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r|;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| &= \|a^{-1}x_1^r a(a^{-1}U'^{-1})^3(a^{-1}x_1^{-r}aU'a)^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + (2+2s) - \{2+2(s-1)\} \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + 2.\end{aligned}$$

Then reasoning as above, we reach a contradiction to (3).

Case (iv): $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ both begins with a^{-1} and ends with a, say, $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv a^{-1}U'a$ (note that U' is cyclically reduced and can neither begin nor end with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). By the choice of U, $|U'| \geq |U|$. However, if $|U'| > |U|$ then $\|\big(\omega_1(U)a^{-1}x_1^r a\big)^s\| \geq |(Ux_1^r)^s| + s$ and $\|\big(a^{-1}x_1^{-r}a\omega_1(U)\big)^s\| \geq |(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + s$, so as in (5), we will arrive at a contradiction to (3). So let $|U'| = |U|$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= |a^{-1}(U'x_1^r)^s U'^3 x_1^r a| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + 2;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| &= |a^{-1}x_1^rU'^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U')^sa|\\ &= |x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s|+2.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the choice of V, $\|a\omega_1(V)a^{-1}\| \geq |V|$. It then follows from (6) that $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$, contradicting (3). This completes the proof of Claim 1. $\square$

By Claim 1 together with the choice of U, we have $\|\omega_1(U)\| \geq |U|$.

Claim 2. $\|\omega_1(U)\| = |U|$.

Proof of Claim 2. By way of contradiction, suppose that $\|\omega_1(U)\| > |U|$. If $\|\big(\omega_1(U)x_1^r\big)^s\| + \|\big(x_1^{-r}\omega_1(U)\big)^s\| > |(Ux_1^r)^s| + |(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + 2s$, then as in (5), we will have a contradiction to (3). In order to avoid this contradiction, $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ must have one of the forms $U'x_1^{\pm1}$, $x_1^{\pm1}U'$ or $x_1^{\pm1}U'x_1^{\mp1}$, where $|U'| = |U|$ and U' neither begins nor ends with $x_1^{\pm1}$; in any case, $\|x_1\omega_1(V)x_1\| \geq |V|+1$ by the choice of V together with the fact that there can be at most one cancellation in $x_1\overline{\omega_1(V)}x_1$. Now observe:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1(W_1)\| &= \|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^sU^3x_1^r\big)\| + \|x_1\omega_1(V)x_1\| + \|\omega_1\big(x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| - 2\\ &\geq \|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^sU^3x_1^r\big)\| + |V| + \|\omega_1\big(x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| - 1.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Case (i): $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv U'x_1$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^sU^3x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(U'x_1x_1^r)^s(U'x_1)^3x_1^r\|\\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^sU^3x_1^r| + (s+3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s\big)\| &= \|x_1^r(x_1^{-1}U'^{-1})^3(x_1^{-r}U'x_1)^s\|\\ &= |x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s| + (3+s) - \{2+2(s-1)\}\\ &= |x_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U)^s| - (s-3),\end{aligned}$$

which yields by (7) that $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$, contradicting (3).

Case (ii): $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv U' x_1^{-1}$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(U' x_1^{-1} x_1^r)^s (U' x_1^{-1})^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (s+3) - (2s+2) \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| - (s-1),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s\big)\| &= \|x_1^r (x_1 {U'}^{-1})^3 (x_1^{-r} U' x_1^{-1})^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + (3+s);\end{aligned}$$

thus $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$ by (7), contradicting (3) as well.

Case (iii): $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv x_1 U'$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1 U' x_1^r)^s (x_1 U')^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (s+3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s\big)\| &= \|x_1^r ({U'}^{-1} x_1^{-1})^3 (x_1^{-r} x_1 U')^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + (3+s) - 2s \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| - (s-3);\end{aligned}$$

hence $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$ again by (7), contradicting (3).

Case (iv): $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv x_1^{-1} U'$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1} U' x_1^r)^s (x_1^{-1} U')^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (s+3) - \{2(s-1) + 2\} \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| - (s-3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s\big)\| &= \|x_1^r ({U'}^{-1} x_1)^3 (x_1^{-r} x_1^{-1} U')^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + (3+s) - 2 \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + (s+1);\end{aligned}$$

thus $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$ by (7), contrary to (3).

Case (v): $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv x_1 U' x_1^{-1}$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1 U' x_1^{-1} x_1^r)^s (x_1 U' x_1^{-1})^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (2s+6) - (2s+4+2) \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r|,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s\big)\| &= \|x_1^r (x_1 {U'}^{-1} x_1^{-1})^3 (x_1^{-r} x_1 U' x_1^{-1})^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + (6+2s) - (4+2s) \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + 2;\end{aligned}$$

hence $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$ by (7), contradicting (3).

Case (vi): $\overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv x_1^{-1} U' x_1$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big((Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1} U' x_1 x_1^r)^s (x_1^{-1} U' x_1)^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + (2s+6) - \{2(s-1)+2+4\} \\ &= |(Ux_1^r)^s U^3 x_1^r| + 2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_1\big(x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s\big)\| &= \|x_1^r (x_1^{-1} {U'}^{-1} x_1)^3 (x_1^{-r} x_1^{-1} U' x_1)^s\| \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s| + (6+2s) - \{2+4+2+2(s-1)\} \\ &= |x_1^r U^{-3} (x_1^{-r} U)^s|;\end{aligned}$$

thus (7) implies that $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| \geq |W_1|$, contrary to (3).

The proof of Claim 2 is complete. □

Then by the choice of U, $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ neither begins nor ends with $x_1^{\pm 1}$; besides, $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ has Whitehead automorphisms with property (2), implying that $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ is cyclically reduced. Also, by the choice of V, $\|\omega_1(V)\| \geq |V|$. In particular, if $\overline{\omega_1(V)}$ either begins or ends with $x_1^{\pm 1}$, then $\|\omega_1(V)\| \geq |V| + 1$; if $\overline{\omega_1(V)}$ both begins and ends with $x_1^{\pm 1}$, then $\|\omega_1(V)\| \geq |V| + 2$.

Now, since $\|\omega_1(W_1)\| < |W_1|$, there must be cancellations in the product $x_1\overline{\omega_1(V)}x_1$ in order that $\|x_1\overline{\omega_1(V)}x_1\| < |x_1Vx_1|$. This is possible only when either $\overline{\omega_1(V)} \equiv V_1x_1^{-1}$ or $\overline{\omega_1(V)} \equiv x_1^{-1}V_1$, where $|V_1| = |V|$. Hence, as our conclusion of Step A, we have the following two possibilities:

$$\textbf{(A1)}\ \omega_1(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv U_1, \quad \overline{\omega_1(V)} \equiv V_1x_1^{-1};$$

$$\textbf{(A2)}\ \omega_1(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\omega_1(U)} \equiv U_1, \quad \overline{\omega_1(V)} \equiv x_1^{-1}V_1,$$

where $|U_1| = |U|$ and $|V_1| = |V|$.

If (A1) occurs, then the word VU is reduced; for otherwise the last letter of $\overline{\omega_1(V)}$ being x_1^{-1} would force the first letter of $\overline{\omega_1(U)}$ to be x_1. Then in the next step, consider the reduced word

$$W_2 \equiv (U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^rVU^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s,$$

where r, s are integers with $s > |V| + 6|U| + 10,\ r > |V| + (2s + 6)|U| + 4s + 8$.

On the other hand, if (A2) occurs, then the word UV is reduced; then in the next step, consider the reduced word

$$W_2' \equiv (x_1^{-r}U)^sx_1^{-r}U^3Vx_1^rU^{-3}(x_1^{-r}U^{-1})^s,$$

where r, s are integers with $s > |V| + 6|U| + 10,\ r > |V| + (2s + 6)|U| + 4s + 8$.

Step B. Suppose that (A1) occurs. We consider the word

$$W_2 \equiv (U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^rVU^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s,$$

where r, s are integers with $s > |V| + 6|U| + 10,\ r > |V| + (2s + 6)|U| + 4s + 8$. Since ϕ is primitivity preserving, the word W_2 is primitive in F_n; so by the Lemma, there is a Whitehead automorphism $\omega_2 = \omega_2(\mathcal{S}_2, b)$ of F_n such that

$$\|\omega_2(W_2)\| < |W_2|. \tag{7}$$

Claim 3. $\omega_2(x_1) = x_1$.

Proof of Claim 3. For the same reason as in (4), $\omega_2(x_1)$ cannot be of the form $x_1 b$ or $b^{-1}x_1$. So let $\omega_2(x_1) = b^{-1}x_1 b$. We have

$$\|\omega_2(W_2)\| = \|\big(\omega_2(U)^{-1}b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b\big)^s\omega_2(U)^{-3}b^{-1}x_1^r b\,\omega_2(V)\,\omega_2(U)^3 b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b\big(\omega_2(U)b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b\big)^s\|.$$

Case (i): $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ neither begins with b^{-1} nor ends with b. Then by the same argument as with W_1 of this case, we arrive at a contradiction to (7).

Case (ii): $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ begins with b^{-1} but does not end with b, say, $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv b^{-1}U'$ (U' cannot begin with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). Reasoning in the same way as with W_1 of this case enables us to assume $|U'| = |U| - 1$. Then $\|b\,\omega_2(V)\,b^{-1}\| = \|\tau_b\omega_2(V)\| \geq |V|$ by the choice of V; moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(U'^{-1}bb^{-1}x_1^{-r}b)^s(U'^{-1}b)^3 b^{-1}x_1^r b\| \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3}x_1^r| + (2s+2) - (2s+2) \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3}x_1^r|, \\
\|\omega_2\big(U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(b^{-1}U')^3 b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b(b^{-1}U'b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b)^s\| \\
&= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (2+2s) - \{2 + 2(s-1)\} \\
&= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from an observation similar to (6) that $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$, contradicting (7).

Case (iii): $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ ends with b but does not begin with b^{-1}, say, $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv U'b$ (U' cannot end with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). In this case as well, we may assume $|U'| = |U| - 1$. Then by the choice of V, $\|\omega_2(V)\| \geq |V| - 2$. In particular, if $\overline{\omega_2(V)}$ begins with b^{-1}, then $\|\omega_2(V)\| \geq \|\tau_b\omega_2(V)\| \geq |V|$. So $\|b\,\omega_2(V)\| \geq |V| - 1$. Since all cancellations in $\omega_2(W_2)$ have the form $b^{\pm 1}b^{\mp 1} \to 1$, there can be no cancellation in $\overline{\omega_2(V)}U'b$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(b^{-1}U'^{-1}b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b)^s(b^{-1}U'^{-1})^3 b^{-1}x_1^r b\| \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3}x_1^r| + (2s+2) - \{2(s-1)+2\} \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3}x_1^r| + 2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(U'b)^3b^{-1}x_1^{-r}b(U'bb^{-1}x_1^{-r}b)^s\|\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (2+2s) - (2+2s)\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2(W_2)\| &= \|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| + \|b\omega_2(V)\| + \|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| - 1\\ &\geq \|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| + |V| + \|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| - 2\\ &\geq |W_2|,\end{aligned}$$

contradicting (7).

Case (iv): $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ both begins with b^{-1} and ends with b, say, $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv b^{-1}U'b$ (U' is cyclically reduced and can neither begin nor end with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). As with W_1 of this case, we arrive at a contradiction to (7). This completes the proof of Claim 3. □

Now, Claim 3 together with the choice of U implies $\|\omega_2(U)\| \geq |U|$.

Claim 4. $\|\omega_2(U)\| = |U|$.

Proof of Claim 4. By way of contradiction, suppose that $\|\omega_2(U)\| > |U|$. Reasoning in the same way as with W_1, $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ must have one of the forms $U'x_1^{\pm 1}$, $x_1^{\pm 1}U'$ or $x_1^{\pm 1}U'x_1^{\mp 1}$, where $|U'| = |U|$ and U' neither begins nor ends with $x_1^{\pm 1}$; in any case, we see from the choice of V that

$$\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq \|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| + |V| + \|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| - 2. \tag{8}$$

Case (i): $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv U'x_1$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1}{U'}^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s(x_1^{-1}{U'}^{-1})^3x_1^r\|\\ &= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| + (s+3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(U'x_1)^3x_1^{-r}(U'x_1x_1^{-r})^s\|\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (3+s) - (2+2s)\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| - (s-1).\end{aligned}$$

This yields by (8) that $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$, contradicting (7).

Case (ii): $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv U'x_1^{-1}$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1{U'}^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s(x_1{U'}^{-1})^3x_1^r\| \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| + (s+3) - \{2(s-1)+2\} \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| - (s-3),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(U'x_1^{-1})^3x_1^{-r}(U'x_1^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s\| \\
&= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (3+s).
\end{aligned}$$

Again by (8) $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$, contradicting (7).

Case (iii): $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv x_1U'$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| &= \|({U'}^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s({U'}^{-1}x_1^{-1})^3x_1^r\| \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| + (s+3) - 2 \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| + (s+1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1U')^3x_1^{-r}(x_1U'x_1^{-r})^s\| \\
&= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (3+s) - \{2+2(s-1)\} \\
&= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| - (s-3),
\end{aligned}$$

which yields by (8) that $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$, contrary to (7).

Case (iv): $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv x_1^{-1}U'$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r\big)\| &= \|({U'}^{-1}x_1x_1^{-r})^s({U'}^{-1}x_1)^3x_1^r\| \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| + (s+3) - 2s, \\
&= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^sU^{-3}x_1^r| - (s-3),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_2\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1}U')^3x_1^{-r}(x_1^{-1}U'x_1^{-r})^s\| \\
&= |U^3x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (3+s);
\end{aligned}$$

thus by (8) $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$, contrary to (7).

Case (v): $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv x_1 U' x_1^{-1}$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3} x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1 U'^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_1^{-r})^s (x_1 U'^{-1} x_1^{-1})^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3} x_1^r| + (2s+6) - \{2(s-1)+2+4+2\} \\ &= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3} x_1^r|,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big(U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1 U' x_1^{-1})^3 x_1^{-r} (x_1 U' x_1^{-1} x_1^{-r})^s\| \\ &= |U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (6+2s) - \{4+2+2(s-1)\} \\ &= |U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + 2;\end{aligned}$$

hence (8) implies that $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$, contrary to (7).

Case (vi): $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv x_1^{-1} U' x_1$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big((U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3} x_1^r\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1} U'^{-1} x_1 x_1^{-r})^s (x_1^{-1} U'^{-1} x_1)^3 x_1^r\| \\ &= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3} x_1^r| + (2s+6) - (2s+4) \\ &= |(U^{-1}x_1^{-r})^s U^{-3} x_1^r| + 2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_2\big(U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1} U' x_1)^3 x_1^{-r} (x_1^{-1} U' x_1 x_1^{-r})^s\| \\ &= |U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s| + (6+2s) - (4+2+2s) \\ &= |U^3 x_1^{-r}(Ux_1^{-r})^s|;\end{aligned}$$

thus $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| \geq |W_2|$ by (8), contradicting (7).

The proof of Claim 4 is complete. □

Then $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ is cyclically reduced and neither begins nor ends with $x_1^{\pm 1}$. Since $\|\omega_2(W_2)\| < |W_2|$, there must be cancellations in the product $x_1 \overline{\omega_2(V)}\,\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ in order that $\|x_1 \overline{\omega_2(V)}\,\overline{\omega_2(U)}\| < |x_1 V U|$. Here, since $\overline{\omega_2(U)}$ does not begin with x_1^{-1}, there is precisely one cancellation in the product $x_1 \overline{\omega_2(V)}\,\overline{\omega_2(U)}$. If the cancellation occurs in the product $x_1 \overline{\omega_2(V)}$, then the only possibility is

$\overline{\omega_2(V)} \equiv x_1^{-1}V_2$, where $|V_2| = |V|$; on the other hand, if the cancellation occurs in the product $\overline{\omega_2(V)}\,\overline{\omega_2(U)}$, then the only possibility is that $\overline{\omega_2(V)} \equiv V_3a$ and $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv a^{-1}U_3$, where $|V| - 1 \leq |V_3| \leq |V|$, $|U_3| = |U| - 1$ and $a \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$.

Similarly repeating Step B for W_2' if (A2) occurs, we conclude that either $\overline{\omega_2'(V)} \equiv V_2'x_1^{-1}$ or $\overline{\omega_2'(V)} \equiv b^{-1}V_3'$, where $\|\omega_2'(W_2')\| < |W_2'|$, $|V_2'| = |V|$, $|V| - 1 \leq |V_3'| \leq |V|$ and b is the last letter of $\overline{\omega_2'(U)}$. Consequently Step B gives us the following four possibilities:

(B1) (A1), $\omega_2(x_1) = x_1$, $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv U_2$, $\overline{\omega_2(V)} \equiv x_1^{-1}V_2$;

(B2) (A1), $\omega_2(x_1) = x_1$, $\overline{\omega_2(U)} \equiv a^{-1}U_3$, $\overline{\omega_2(V)} \equiv V_3a$;

(B3) (A2), $\omega_2'(x_1) = x_1$, $\overline{\omega_2'(U)} \equiv U_2'$, $\overline{\omega_2'(V)} \equiv V_2'x_1^{-1}$;

(B4) (A2), $\omega_2'(x_1) = x_1$, $\overline{\omega_2'(U)} \equiv U_3'b$, $\overline{\omega_2'(V)} \equiv b^{-1}V_3'$,

where $|U_2| = |U_2'| = |U|$, $|V_2| = |V_2'| = |V|$, $|U_3| = |U_3'| = |U| - 1$, $|V| - 1 \leq |V_3|, |V_3'| \leq |V|$ and $a, b \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$.

If (B1) occurs, then the word UV is reduced; so the word UVU is reduced, since the word VU is already reduced by (A1). Also, if (B3) occurs, then the word VU is reduced; so the word UVU is reduced by (A2). Hence if (B1) or (B3) occurs, then in the next step, consider the reduced word

$$W_3 \equiv (x_1^rU)^s x_1^r U^3 V U^3 x_1^{-r} (U^{-1}x_1^r)^s,$$

where r, s are integers with $s > |V| + 6|U| + 10$, $r > |V| + (2s + 6)|U| + 4s + 8$.

Step C. Suppose that either (B1) or (B3) occurs. We consider the word

$$W_3 \equiv (x_1^rU)^s x_1^r U^3 V U^3 x_1^{-r} (U^{-1}x_1^r)^s,$$

where r, s are integers with $s > |V| + 6|U| + 10$, $r > |V| + (2s + 6)|U| + 4s + 8$. The word W_3 is primitive in F_n; so by the Lemma, there is a Whitehead automorphism $\omega_3 = \omega_3(\mathcal{S}_3, c)$ of F_n such that

$$\|\omega_3(W_3)\| < |W_3|. \tag{9}$$

Claim 5. $\omega_3(x_1) = x_1$.

Proof of Claim 5. For the same reason as in (4), $\omega_3(x_1)$ cannot be of the form $x_1 c$ or $c^{-1}x_1$. Then let $\omega_3(x_1) = c^{-1}x_1 c$. We have

$$\|\omega_3(W_3)\| = \|\big(c^{-1}x_1^r c\,\omega_3(U)\big)^s c^{-1}x_1^r c\,\omega_3(U)^3\,\omega_3(V)\,\omega_3(U)^3 c^{-1}x_1^{-r} c\,\big(\omega_3(U)^{-1}c^{-1}x_1^r c\big)^s\|.$$

Case (i): $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ neither begins with c^{-1} nor ends with c; then by the same argument as with W_1 of this case, we get a contradiction to (9).

Case (ii): $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ begins with c^{-1} but does not end with c, say, $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv c^{-1}U'$ (U' cannot begin with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). Reasoning in the same way as with W_1 of this case, we may assume $|U'| = |U| - 1$. Then by the choice of V, $\|\omega_3(V)\| \geq |V| - 2$. In particular, if $\overline{\omega_3(V)}$ ends with c, then $\|\omega_3(V)\| \geq \|\tau_c \omega_3(V)\| \geq |V|$. So $\|\omega_3(V)c^{-1}\| \geq |V| - 1$. Since there can be no cancellation in $c^{-1}U'\overline{\omega_3(V)}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3(W_3)\| &= \|\omega_3\big((x_1^r U)^s x_1^r U^3\big)\| + \|\omega_3(V)c^{-1}\| + \|\omega_3\big(U^3 x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| - 1 \\ &\geq \|\omega_3\big((x_1^r U)^s x_1^r U^3\big)\| + |V| + \|\omega_3\big(U^3 x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here, we see:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3\big((x_1^r U)^s x_1^r U^3\big)\| &= \|(c^{-1}x_1^r c c^{-1}U')^s c^{-1}x_1^r c (c^{-1}U')^3\| \\ &= |(x_1^r U)^s x_1^r U^3| + (2s+2) - (2s+2) \\ &= |(x_1^r U)^s x_1^r U^3|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3\big(U^3 x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(c^{-1}U')^3 c^{-1}x_1^{-r} c ({U'}^{-1} c c^{-1} x_1^r c)^s\| \\ &= |U^3 x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (2 + 2s) - 2s \\ &= |U^3 x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + 2, \end{aligned}$$

yielding $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$ by (10), contrary to (9).

Case (iii): $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ ends with c but does not begin with c^{-1}, say, $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv U'c$ (U' cannot end with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). In this case as well, we may assume $|U'| = |U| - 1$. As above, $\|c\,\omega_3(V)\| \geq |V| - 1$ and there can be no cancellation in $\omega_3(V)U'c$. Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(c^{-1}x_1^rcU'c)^sc^{-1}x_1^rc(U'c)^3\| \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (2s+2) - \{2(s-1)+2\} \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + 2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(U'c)^3c^{-1}x_1^{-r}c(c^{-1}U'^{-1}c^{-1}x_1^rc)^s\| \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (2+2s) - \{2+2+2(s-1)\} \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s|;\end{aligned}$$

so that $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$ by an observation similar to (10), contradicting (9).

Case (iv): $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ both begins with c^{-1} and ends with c, say, $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv c^{-1}U'c$ (U' is cyclically reduced and can neither begin nor end with $x_1^{\pm 1}$). Then as with W_1 of this case, we reach a contradiction to (9). This completes the proof of Claim 5. □

Claim 5 implies by the choice of U that $\|\omega_3(U)\| \geq |U|$.

Claim 6. $\|\omega_3(U)\| = |U|$.

Proof of Claim 6. Suppose on the contrary that $\|\omega_3(U)\| > |U|$. Reasoning as with W_1, $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ must have one of the forms $U'x_1^{\pm 1}$, $x_1^{\pm 1}U'$ or $x_1^{\pm 1}U'x_1^{\mp 1}$, where $|U'| = |U|$ and U' neither begins nor ends with $x_1^{\pm 1}$; in any case, it follows from the choice of V that

$$\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq \|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| + |V| + \|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| - 2. \tag{11}$$

Case (i): $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv U'x_1$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(x_1^rU'x_1)^sx_1^r(U'x_1)^3\| \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (s+3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(U'x_1)^3x_1^{-r}(x_1^{-1}{U'}^{-1}x_1^r)^s\|\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (3+s) - \{2+2(s-1)\}\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| - (s-3).\end{aligned}$$

This yields by (11) that $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$, contradicting (9).

Case (ii): $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv U'x_1^{-1}$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(x_1^rU'x_1^{-1})^sx_1^r(U'x_1^{-1})^3\|\\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (s+3) - \{2(s-1)+2\}\\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| - (s-3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(U'x_1^{-1})^3x_1^{-r}(x_1{U'}^{-1}x_1^r)^s\|\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (3+s) - 2\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (s+1);\end{aligned}$$

hence $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$ by (11), contradicting (9) as well.

Case (iii): $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv x_1U'$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(x_1^rx_1U')^sx_1^r(x_1U')^3\|\\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (s+3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1U')^3x_1^{-r}({U'}^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_1^r)^s\|\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (3+s) - 2s\\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| - (s-3),\end{aligned}$$

which implies by (11) that $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$, contrary to (9).

Case (iv): $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv x_1^{-1}U'$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(x_1^rx_1^{-1}U')^sx_1^r(x_1^{-1}U')^3\|\\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (s+3) - (2s+2)\\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| - (s-1),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1}U')^3x_1^{-r}(U'^{-1}x_1x_1^r)^s\| \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (3+s);\end{aligned}$$

thus by (11) $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$, contrary to (9).

Case (v): $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv x_1U'x_1^{-1}$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(x_1^rx_1U'x_1^{-1})^sx_1^r(x_1U'x_1^{-1})^3\| \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (2s+6) - \{2(s-1)+2+4\} \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + 2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1U'x_1^{-1})^3x_1^{-r}(x_1U'^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_1^r)^s\| \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (6+2s) - (4+2+2s) \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s|;\end{aligned}$$

hence by (11) $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$, contrary to (9).

Case (vi): $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv x_1^{-1}U'x_1$ with $|U'| = |U|$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big((x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3\big)\| &= \|(x_1^rx_1^{-1}U'x_1)^sx_1^r(x_1^{-1}U'x_1)^3\| \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3| + (2s+6) - (2s+2+4) \\ &= |(x_1^rU)^sx_1^rU^3|,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_3\big(U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s\big)\| &= \|(x_1^{-1}U'x_1)^3x_1^{-r}(x_1^{-1}U'^{-1}x_1x_1^r)^s\| \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + (6+2s) - \{4+2+2(s-1)\} \\ &= |U^3x_1^{-r}(U^{-1}x_1^r)^s| + 2;\end{aligned}$$

thus (11) implies that $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| \geq |W_3|$, contradicting (9).

The proof of Claim 6 is complete. □

Then $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ is cyclically reduced and neither begins nor ends with $x_1^{\pm1}$. Since $\|\omega_3(W_3)\| < |W_3|$, there must be cancellations in the product $\overline{\omega_3(U)}\,\overline{\omega_3(V)}\,\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ so that $\|\overline{\omega_3(U)}\,\overline{\omega_3(V)}\,\overline{\omega_3(U)}\| <$

$|UVU|$. Here, since $\overline{\omega_3(U)}$ is cyclically reduced, there is exactly one cancellation in the product $\overline{\omega_3(U)}\,\overline{\omega_3(V)}\,\overline{\omega_3(U)}$. If the cancellation occurs in the product $\overline{\omega_3(U)}\,\overline{\omega_3(V)}$, then the only possibility is that $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv U_4c$ and $\overline{\omega_3(V)} \equiv c^{-1}V_4$, where $|U_4| = |U|-1$, $|V|-1 \le |V_4| \le |V|$ and $c \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$; while if the cancellation occurs in the product $\overline{\omega_3(V)}\,\overline{\omega_3(U)}$, then the only possibility is that $\overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv d^{-1}U_4'$ and $\overline{\omega_3(V)} \equiv V_4'd$, where $|U_4'| = |U|-1$, $|V|-1 \le |V_4'| \le |V|$ and $d \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$. Consequently, Step C provides us with the following four possibilities:

(C1) (B1), $\quad \omega_3(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv U_4c, \quad \overline{\omega_3(V)} \equiv c^{-1}V_4;$

(C2) (B1), $\quad \omega_3(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv d^{-1}U_4', \quad \overline{\omega_3(V)} \equiv V_4'd;$

(C3) (B3), $\quad \omega_3(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv U_4c, \quad \overline{\omega_3(V)} \equiv c^{-1}V_4;$

(C4) (B3), $\quad \omega_3(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\omega_3(U)} \equiv d^{-1}U_4', \quad \overline{\omega_3(V)} \equiv V_4'd,$

where $|U_4| = |U_4'| = |U|-1$, $|V|-1 \le |V_4|, |V_4'| \le |V|$ and $c,\, d \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$.

Step D. Combining the results of Steps A, B and C proves the existence of a pair $\big(\alpha = \alpha(\mathcal{P}, x_1^{-1}),\ \beta = \beta(\mathcal{Q}, e)\big)$ or $\big(\alpha' = \alpha'(\mathcal{P}', x_1),\ \beta' = \beta'(\mathcal{Q}', e')\big)$ of Whitehead automorphisms of F_n such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\textbf{(D1)}\ \ &\alpha(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\alpha(U)} \equiv U_5, \quad \overline{\alpha(V)} \equiv V_5x_1^{-1},\\
&\beta(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\beta(U)} \equiv e^{-1}U_6, \quad \overline{\beta(V)} \equiv V_6e;\\
\textbf{(D2)}\ \ &\alpha'(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\alpha'(U)} \equiv U_5', \quad \overline{\alpha'(V)} \equiv x_1^{-1}V_5',\\
&\beta'(x_1) = x_1, \quad \overline{\beta'(U)} \equiv U_6'e', \quad \overline{\beta'(V)} \equiv {e'}^{-1}V_6',
\end{aligned}$$

where $|U_5| = |U_5'| = |U|$, $|V_5| = |V_5'| = |V|$, $|U_6| = |U_6'| = |U|-1$, $|V|-1 \le |V_6|, |V_6'| \le |V|$ and $e,\, e' \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$.

Step E. Let us write indices as follows: $U_7 \equiv U$, $V_7 \equiv V$, $\alpha_7 = \alpha$, $\alpha_7' = \alpha'$, and

$$U_8 \equiv \alpha_7(U_7) \quad \text{and} \quad V_8 \equiv \overline{\alpha_7(V_7)x_1} \quad \text{provided (D1) occurs for } U,\, V;$$

$$U_8 \equiv \alpha_7'(U_7) \quad \text{and} \quad V_8 \equiv \overline{x_1\alpha_7'(V_7)} \quad \text{provided (D2) occurs for } U,\, V.$$

It is easy to see that the words U_8 and V_8 have the same properties as U and V do, respectively; hence there exists a pair (α_8, β_8) or (α'_8, β'_8) of Whitehead automorphisms of F_n that correspond to (D1) or (D2), respectively, relative to the words U_8 and V_8. Then let us put

$$U_9 \equiv \alpha_8(U_8) \quad \text{and} \quad V_9 \equiv \overline{\alpha_8(V_8)x_1} \quad \text{provided (D1) occurs for } U_8,\, V_8;$$

$$U_9 \equiv \alpha'_8(U_8) \quad \text{and} \quad V_9 \equiv \overline{x_1\alpha'_8(V_8)} \quad \text{provided (D2) occurs for } U_8,\, V_8.$$

Continue this process until we get the sequences

$$\tilde{\alpha}_7,\, \tilde{\alpha}_8,\, \ldots,\, \tilde{\alpha}_l \quad \text{and} \quad (U_7, V_7),\, (U_8, V_8),\, \ldots,\, (U_l, V_l),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_j = \alpha_j$ or α'_j and $l > 6 + (2n)^{|U|+|V|}$. We then notice that there is a repetition in the second sequence, say,

$$(U_{k_1}, V_{k_1}) = (U_{k_2}, V_{k_2})$$

with $k_1 < k_2$.

Put $\tilde{\alpha}(k_2, k_1) = \tilde{\alpha}_{k_2-1}\tilde{\alpha}_{k_2-2}\cdots\tilde{\alpha}_{k_1}$; then

$$\tilde{\alpha}(k_2,k_1)(x_1) = x_1, \quad \tilde{\alpha}(k_2,k_1)(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\alpha}(k_2,k_1)(V_{k_1}) = x_1^{-m_1}V_{k_1}x_1^{-m_2}, \tag{12}$$

where m_1, $m_2 \geq 0$ and $m_1 + m_2 = k_2 - k_1$. If $m_1 = 0$, then (12) implies that there is a Whitehead automorphism $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\mathcal{P}_1, x_1^{-1})$ such that $\delta_1(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1}$ and $\delta_1(V_{k_1}) = V_{k_1}x_1^{-1}$; if $m_2 = 0$, then again by (12), there is a Whitehead automorphism $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\mathcal{P}_2, x_1)$ such that $\delta_2(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1}$ and $\delta_2(V_{k_1}) = x_1^{-1}V_{k_1}$. Now let both m_1, $m_2 \neq 0$. In view of (12), there exist two Whitehead automorphisms $\delta'_1 = \delta'_1(\mathcal{P}'_1, x_1^{-1})$ and $\delta'_2 = \delta'_2(\mathcal{P}'_2, x_1)$ such that $\delta'_2\delta'_1(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1}$ and $\delta'_2\delta'_1(V_{k_1}) = x_1^{-1}V_{k_1}x_1^{-1}$. Here, we may assume $\mathcal{P}'_1 \cap \mathcal{P}'_2 = \emptyset$. It then follows that $\delta'_1(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1}$, $\delta'_2(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1}$, $\delta'_1(V_{k_1}) = V_{k_1}x_1^{-1}$ and $\delta'_2(V_{k_1}) = x_1^{-1}V_{k_1}$. Hence, if the words U_{k_1} and V_{k_1} have a pair $(\alpha_{k_1}, \beta_{k_1})$ of Whitehead automorphisms of type (D1), then we may assume that α_{k_1} is such that

$$\alpha_{k_1}(x_1) = x_1, \quad \alpha_{k_1}(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{k_1}(V_{k_1}) = V_{k_1}x_1^{-1};$$

if the words U_{k_1} and V_{k_1} have a pair $(\alpha'_{k_1}, \beta'_{k_1})$ of Whitehead automorphisms of type (D2), then we may assume that α'_{k_1} is such that

$$\alpha'_{k_1}(x_1) = x_1, \quad \alpha'_{k_1}(U_{k_1}) = U_{k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_{k_1}(V_{k_1}) = x_1^{-1}V_{k_1}.$$

Since $\Phi_{x_1}(U) = \Phi_{x_1}(U_{k_1})$ and $\Phi_{x_1}(V) = \Phi_{x_1}(V_{k_1})$, where $\Phi_{x_1}(Y)$ is the generalized Whitehead graph of (Y, x_1), we can finally assume that in (D1),

$$\alpha(x_1) = x_1, \quad \alpha(U) = U \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(V) = Vx_1^{-1},$$

and that in (D2),

$$\alpha'(x_1) = x_1, \quad \alpha'(U) = U \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'(V) = x_1^{-1}V.$$

Consequently, Step E enables us to refine upon the result of Step D as follows: There exists a pair $\big(\alpha = \alpha(\mathcal{P}, x_1^{-1}),\ \beta = \beta(\mathcal{Q}, e)\big)$ or $\big(\alpha' = \alpha'(\mathcal{P}', x_1),\ \beta' = \beta'(\mathcal{Q}', e')\big)$ of Whitehead automorphisms of F_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\textbf{(E1)}\ \alpha(x_1) = x_1, && \overline{\alpha(U)} \equiv U, && \overline{\alpha(V)} \equiv Vx_1^{-1}, \\ &\qquad\ \beta(x_1) = x_1, && \overline{\beta(U)} \equiv e^{-1}U_6, && \overline{\beta(V)} \equiv V_6 e; \\ &\textbf{(E2)}\ \alpha'(x_1) = x_1, && \overline{\alpha'(U)} \equiv U, && \overline{\alpha'(V)} \equiv x_1^{-1}V, \\ &\qquad\ \beta'(x_1) = x_1, && \overline{\beta'(U)} \equiv U'_6 e', && \overline{\beta'(V)} \equiv e'^{-1}V'_6, \end{aligned}$$

where $|U_6| = |U'_6| = |U| - 1$, $|V| - 1 \le |V_6|, |V'_6| \le |V|$ and $e, e' \ne x_1^{\pm 1}$.

Step F. Suppose that the words U and V have a pair (α, β) of Whitehead automorphisms of type (E1) (the case (E2) is similar). Let y and z be the first and the last letter of the word U, respectively. Recall that $\beta = \beta(\mathcal{Q}, e)$ with $e \ne x_1^{\pm 1}$. Obviously both $x_1, x_1^{-1} \notin \mathcal{Q}$, because $\beta(x_1) = x_1$. Then let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1 = \{b \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \Phi(U) \mid & \text{ there exists a path } p_b = b - y^{-1} \text{ of length } \ge 0 \text{ in } \Phi(U) \\ & \text{ that connects } b \text{ with } y^{-1} \text{ and does not pass through } x_1^{\pm 1}\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = [\mathcal{Q} \cap \Phi(U)] \setminus \mathcal{T}_1,$$

where $\Phi(U)$ is the standard Whitehead graph of U.

Consider the Whitehead automorphisms

$$\eta_1 = \eta_1(\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \{e\},\, e) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2 = \eta_2(\mathcal{T}_2 \cup \{e\},\, e).$$

If $e \notin \mathcal{T}_1$, then it is not hard to see that $\|\eta_1(U)\| > |U|$. It then follows from the observation

$$|U| = \|\beta(U)\| = \|\eta_1(U)\| + \|\eta_2(U)\| - |U| \tag{13}$$

that $\|\eta_2(U)\| < |U|$, contrary to the choice of U (note $\eta_2(x_1) = x_1$ because $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$). So the vertex e has to be inside $\mathcal{T}_1$, i.e.,

$$e \in \mathcal{T}_1.$$

Claim 7. $\mathcal{T}_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 7. Suppose on the contrary that $\mathcal{T}_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then since $e \in \mathcal{T}_1$, i.e., $e \notin \mathcal{T}_2$, $\|\eta_2(U)\| \geq |U|$. Here, if $\|\eta_2(U)\| > |U|$, then $\|\eta_1(U)\| < |U|$ by (13). This contradicts the choice of U. Hence $\|\eta_2(U)\| = |U|$; this can happen only when

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{T}_2} C_e(f, U) \quad \text{and} \quad z \notin \mathcal{T}_2,$$

where $C_e(f,U)$ is the connected component of $\Phi_e(U)$ containing f.

Here, assume that $C_e(f,U) \neq C(f,U)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{T}_2$, where $C(f,U)$ is the connected component of $\Phi(U)$ containing f. This is possible only when e or $e^{-1} \in C(f,U)$. If $e \in C(f,U)$, then there exists a path $r_f = f - e$ of length ≥ 0 in $\Phi(U)$ which connects f with e. Since $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin C_e(f,U) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, the path r_f does not pass through $x_1^{\pm 1}$. But then, since $e \in \mathcal{T}_1$, such a vertex f must belong to $\mathcal{T}_1$, contrary to $f \in \mathcal{T}_2$. Hence we must have $e \notin C(f,U)$ and $e^{-1} \in C(f,U)$. This implies that $C_e(f,U)$ contains at least one of the adjacent vertices of e in $\Phi(U)$, say, g. Of course $g \neq x_1^{\pm 1}$, because $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin C_e(f,U) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. But then, by the definition of $\mathcal{T}_1$, we have $g \in \mathcal{T}_1$, which contradicts $g \in C_e(f,U) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$. So it is proved that

$$C_e(f,U) = C(f,U) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{T}_2.$$

Now choose an arbitrary $C(f,U) = C_e(f,U)$ which is contained in $\mathcal{T}_2$. Assume that there is a vertex, say, b, in $C(f,U)$ such that $b \in C(f,U)$ but $b^{-1} \notin C(f,U)$. Then for the Whitehead automorphism

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon\big(C(f,U),\, b\big),$$

we have $\varepsilon(x_1) = x_1$, because $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin C(f,U) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Furthermore, we see from y^{-1}, $z \notin C(f,U) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$ that $\|\varepsilon(U)\| = \|\pi_b(U)\| < |U|$ (recall that π_b removes all $b^{\pm 1}$'s). This contradicts the choice of U. Thus if $b \in C(f,U)$, then b^{-1} also has to occur in $C(f,U)$, which forces us to have $C(f,U) = \varPhi(U)$, so that $e \in C(f,U)$, contrary to $e \notin C_e(f,U) = C(f,U)$. This completes the proof of Claim 7. □

Now, let v be the last letter of the word V. We consider two cases.

Case I. *$v^{\pm 1}$ occurs only once (at the end) in the word V.*

Put $V \equiv V'tv$. If $v^{\pm 1} \notin \varPhi(U)$, then the Whitehead automorphism

$$\zeta = \zeta(\{v^{-1}, t\},\, t)$$

fixes x_1 and U, but $\|\zeta(V)\| = |V'v| = |V| - 1$. This contradicts the choice of V. So $v^{\pm 1}$ must occur in $\varPhi(U)$. Besides, it follows from $\overline{\beta(V)} \equiv V_6 e$ that $v \in \mathcal{Q}$; hence $v \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \varPhi(U)$. Since $\mathcal{T}_2 = \emptyset$, $v \in \mathcal{T}_1$. This implies that $y^{-1} \in C_{x_1}(v,U)$, contrary to the existence of a Whitehead automorphism α having the properties in (E1). Case I is complete.

Case II. *$v^{\pm 1}$ occurs more than once in the word V.*

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 = \{d \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \varPhi(V) \,|\, &\text{there exists a path } q_d = d - v \text{ of length } \geq 0 \text{ in } \varPhi(V)\\ &\text{that connects } d \text{ with } v \text{ and does not pass through } x_1^{\pm 1}\};\\ \mathcal{L}_2 = [\mathcal{Q} \cap \varPhi(V)] \setminus \mathcal{L}_1.&\end{aligned}$$

If $e \in \mathcal{L}_1$, then clearly $e \in C_{x_1}(v, V)$. Also, it follows from $e \in \mathcal{T}_1$ that $y^{-1} \in C_{x_1}(e, U)$. Combining these facts gives us a contradiction to the existence of a Whitehead automorphism α with the properties in (E1); this contradiction enables us to have

$$e \notin \mathcal{L}_1.$$

Recall that $\overline{\beta(V)} \equiv V_6 e$. We treat two subcases separately.

Case II.1. $V_6 \neq V$.

Consider the Whitehead automorphisms

$$\rho_1 = \rho_1(\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \{e\},\, e) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = \rho_2(\mathcal{L}_2 \cup \{e\},\, e).$$

Since $e \notin \mathcal{L}_1$ and $V_6 \neq V$, we see that $\|\rho_1(V)\| \geq |V| + 2$. It then follows from the observation

$$|V| + 1 \geq \|\beta(V)\| = \|\rho_1(V)\| + \|\rho_2(V)\| - |V|$$

that $\|\rho_2(V)\| < |V|$. Here, assume that $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathit{\Phi}(U) = \emptyset$, and consider the Whitehead automorphism

$$\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2,\, e).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathit{\Phi}(U) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap (\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2) = \emptyset$. Also since $\mathcal{T}_2 = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathit{\Phi}(U) = \mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$. Thus we have $\sigma(U) = \beta(U)$ and $\sigma(V) = \rho_2(V)$, so that $\|\sigma(U)\| = |U|$ and $\|\sigma(V)\| < |V|$, contrary to the choice of V (note $\sigma(x_1) = x_1$ because $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$). So we must have $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathit{\Phi}(U) \neq \emptyset$, say, $h \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathit{\Phi}(U) = \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1$. But then we have $h \in C_{x_1}(v, V)$ and $y^{-1} \in C_{x_1}(h, U)$, contradicting the existence of a Whitehead automorphism α having the properties in (E1). Case II.1 is complete.

Case II.2. $V_6 = V$.

This can happen only when

$$\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathit{\Phi}(V) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathit{\Phi}(V)} C_e(k, V). \tag{14}$$

It then follows that $C_e(v, V) \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathit{\Phi}(V)$, because $v \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathit{\Phi}(V)$.

Case II.2.1. $C_e(v, V) \cap \Phi(U) \neq \emptyset$.

In this case, we have from $\mathcal{Q} \cap \Phi(U) = \mathcal{T}_1$ that $C_e(v, V) \cap \mathcal{T}_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $C_e(v, V) = C(v, V)$, then since $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin C_e(v, V) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, we have $C_e(v, V) = C(v, V) = C_{x_1}(v, V)$, so that $C_{x_1}(v, V) \cap \mathcal{T}_1 \neq \emptyset$. But this yields a contradiction to the existence of a Whitehead automorphism α with the properties in (E1). Thus,

$$C_e(v, V) \neq C(v, V).$$

It then follows that e or $e^{-1} \in C(v, V)$. If $e \in C(v, V)$, then there exists a path $q_e = e - v$ of length ≥ 0 in $\Phi(V)$ which connects e with v. Since $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin C_e(v, V) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, the path q_e does not pass through $x_1^{\pm 1}$. But then, by the definition of $\mathcal{L}_1$, $e \in \mathcal{L}_1$, contrary to $e \notin \mathcal{L}_1$. Hence, we must have

$$e \notin C(v, V) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-1} \in C(v, V). \tag{15}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = C_e(v, V) \cap \mathcal{L}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = C_e(v, V) \cap \mathcal{L}_2.$$

In order to avoid a contradiction to the properties of α in (E1), we must have $\mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1 = \emptyset$. Here, consider the Whitehead automorphisms

$$\mu_1 = \mu_1(\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \{e\},\, e), \quad \mu_2 = \mu_2(\mathcal{K}_2 \cup \{e\},\, e) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_3 = \mu_3\big((\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_1,\, e\big).$$

In view of (15) and the definition of $\mathcal{K}_1$, we see that $\|\mu_1(V)\| \geq |V| + 2$; hence it follows from the observation

$$|V| + 1 = |Ve| = \|\mu_1(V)\| + \|\mu_2(V)\| - |V| \tag{16}$$

that $\|\mu_2(V)\| < |V|$. Since $\mathcal{K}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1 = \emptyset$, we have $\mathcal{Q} \cap \Phi(U) = \mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq [(\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_1] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$; thus $\mu_3(U) = \beta(U)$. Also, in view of (14) and the definitions of $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$, we can observe that $\mu_3(V) = \mu_2(V)$, contrary to the choice of V (note $\mu_3(x_1) = x_1$ because $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin [(\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_1] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$). Case II.2.1 is complete.

Case II.2.2. $C_e(v,V)\cap\Phi(U)=\emptyset$ *and* $C_e(v,V)=C(v,V)$.

Let u be the first letter of the word V. Then

$$u^{-1}\notin C(v,V)=C_e(v,V);$$

for if $u^{-1}\in C(v,V)$ then it would follow from $x_1^{\pm1}\notin C(v,V)=C_e(v,V)\subseteq\mathcal{Q}$ that $u^{-1}\in C(v,V)=C_{x_1}(v,V)$, contradicting $\alpha(V)=Vx_1^{-1}$ in (E1).

If $C(v,V)$ has a vertex of degree 1 that is different from v, say, w, then the Whitehead automorphism

$$\nu_1=\nu_1(\{w,c\},\,c),$$

where c is the adjacent vertex of w in $\Phi(V)$ (here c cannot be w^{-1}), fixes x_1 and U (because $w\notin\Phi(U)$ by the hypothesis of this case). But $\|\nu_1(V)\|\le|V|-1<|V|$. This contradicts the choice of V, so every vertex in $C(v,V)$ different from v must have degree at least 2. This means that if $k\in C(v,V)$ then the sum of occurrences of k and k^{-1} in V must be at least two.

Now assume that there is a vertex, say, d, in $C(v,V)$ such that $d\in C(v,V)$ but $d^{-1}\notin C(v,V)$. Then since $x_1^{\pm1}\notin C(v,V)=C_e(v,V)\subseteq\mathcal{Q}$, for the Whitehead automorphism

$$\nu_2=\nu_2\big(C(v,V),\,d),$$

we have $\nu_2(x_1)=x_1$. Also since $C(v,V)\cap\Phi(U)=C_e(v,V)\cap\Phi(U)=\emptyset$ by the hypothesis of this case, $\nu_2(U)=U$. Furthermore, we see that if $d\neq v$ then $\|\nu_2(V)\|=\|\pi_d(V)d\|$ (recall that $u^{-1}\notin C(v,V)$); whereas if $d=v$ then $\|\nu_2(V)\|=\|\pi_d(V)\|$. Since $d^{\pm1}$ occurs at least twice in V, $\|\pi_d(V)\|\le|V|-2$; hence $\|\nu_2(V)\|<|V|$. This contradicts the choice of V. Thus if $d\in C(v,V)$, then d^{-1} also has to occur in $C(v,V)$. This yields that $C(v,V)=\Phi(V)$, contradicting $u^{-1}\notin C(v,V)$. This completes Case II.2.2.

Case II.2.3. $C_e(v,V)\cap\Phi(U)=\emptyset$ *and* $C_e(v,V)\neq C(v,V)$.

Since $C_e(v,V) \neq C(v,V)$, we have, for the same reason as in obtaining (15), that

$$e \notin C(v,V) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-1} \in C(v,V). \tag{17}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = C_e(v,V) \cap \mathcal{L}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = C_e(v,V) \cap \mathcal{L}_2,$$

and consider the Whitehead automorphisms

$$\xi_1 = \xi_1(\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \{e\},\, e) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = \xi_2(\mathcal{M}_2 \cup \{e\},\, e).$$

By (17) and the definition of $\mathcal{M}_1$, we see that $\|\xi_1(V)\| \geq |V| + 2$. Then it follows from an observation similar to (16) that $\|\xi_2(V)\| < |V|$. Also, since $x_1^{\pm 1} \notin \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq C_e(v,V) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 \cap \Phi(U) \subseteq C_e(v,V) \cap \Phi(U) = \emptyset$ by the hypothesis of this case, we have $\xi_2(x_1) = x_1$ and $\xi_2(U) = U$. A contradiction to the choice of V completes Case II.2.3.

The proof of the Theorem is now completed. □

Acknowledgements

The author is greatly indebted to Professor S. V. Ivanov at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign for his valuable advice and kind encouragement. She also thanks the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] G. Baumslag, A. G. Myasnikov and V. Shpilrain, *Open problems in combinatorial group theory*, Contemp. Math. **250** (1999), 1–27.

[2] S. V. Ivanov, *On endomorphisms of free groups that preserve primitivity*, Arch. Math. **72** (1999), 92–100.

[3] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1977.

[4] J. McCool, *A presentation for the automorphism group of a free group of finite rank*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1974), 259–266.

[5] V. Shpilrain, *Recognizing automorphisms of the free groups*, Arch. Math. **62** (1994), 385–392.

[6] V. Shpilrain, *Generalized primitive elements of a free group*, Arch. Math. **71** (1998), 270–278.

[7] J. H. C. Whitehead, *Equivalent sets of elements in a free group*, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 782–800.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
E-mail address: `d-lee9@math.uiuc.edu`